



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/248,768	02/12/1999	DIKRAN S. BABIKIAN	SVG-771	9181

7590

04/26/2002

ROBERT MOLL
1173 ST CHARLES COURT
LOS ALTOS, CA 94024

EXAMINER

FORD, JOHN K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3743

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

16

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

see attached

Art Unit: 3743

Applicants comments have been given careful consideration. Substantial new limitations have been added to claims 1 and 7, largely mooted the earlier rejections.

The reply filed on January 9, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): 37 CFR 1.111 (b) requires a reply to an office action to "present arguments pointing out specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references" (emphasis supplied). See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

There is virtually no discussion of ^{why} newly presented independent claims 24-26, are deemed by applicant to be patentable over the prior art of record. While it is somewhat open to interpretation what may constitute a sufficient response under 37 CFR 1.111(b), a response which fails to discuss any of the newly presented claims is clearly insufficient.

Art Unit: 3743


The PTO is under no obligation to provide Applicant with translations of foreign references. The Examiner believes that Applicant may obtain machine translations of Japanese references back to 1993 from a web-site maintained by the Japanese Patent Office (<http://www.jpo-miti.go.jp/homee.htm>).

- Access the JPO English language home page at <http://www.jpo-miti.go.jp/homee.htm>.
- On the home page, click on the Industrial Property Digital Library (IPDL).
- In paragraph 2 of the displayed page, select "Searching PAJ" (Patent Abstracts of Japan). This takes you to a "Searching PAJ"

page that supports searches by text, date, IPC code, or document number (application no., pre-grant publication no., or patent no.).

- Enter the document number (10-63844) and click on SEARCH. This displays a hit list.
- Click on the document number to display an English language abstract of the document, translated by a human.
- Click the DETAIL button. This will display a machine translation of the claims.
- You can then obtain translations of the other sections by clicking on the desired section title.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at telephone number (703) 308-2636.



John K. Ford
Primary Examiner

J. Ford

April 1, 2002